

1 FREDERICK P. FURTH (No. 38438)
2 MICHAEL P. LEHMANN (No. 77152)
3 THOMAS P. DOVE (No. 51921)
4 ALEX C. TURAN (No. 227273)
5 THE FURTH FIRM LLP
6 225 Bush Street, 15th Floor
7 San Francisco, California 94104-4249
8 Telephone: (415) 433-2070
9 Facsimile: (415) 982-2076

10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

11 (Additional Counsel are listed on Signature Page)

12 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

13 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

14 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

15 MICHAEL BRAUCH, a resident of San Francisco, and ANDREW MEIMES, a resident of New York, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,) CASE NO. C:05-2743 MHP)
16) NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF OTHER ACTION OR PROCEEDING)
17)
18 Plaintiffs,)
19)
20 vs.)
21)
22)
23)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28)
-----)
19)
20)
21)
22)
23)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28)

1 Pursuant to Civ. Local Rule 3-13, plaintiffs Michael Brauch and Andrew
 2 Meimes (“Plaintiffs”) identify the following cases in which substantially similar antitrust
 3 law violations are alleged to have occurred due to Intel Corporation’s (“Intel”) use of its
 4 substantial market power to unlawfully maintain its monopoly by engaging in a relentless
 5 campaign to coerce customers to refrain from dealing with Advanced Micro Devices, which
 6 resulted in customers paying higher prices for x86 microprocessors and left them with fewer
 7 buying choices for such microprocessors.

8 Each of the following cases therefore relates to the general subject matter
 9 alleged in this action.

- 10 1. *Salpeter, et al. v. Intel Corp.*, No. 1:05-cv-00575, filed in the United
 11 States District Court for the District of Delaware on August 8, 2005,
 12 and assigned to Judge Joseph J. Farnan, Jr.
- 13 2. *Roach v. Intel Corp.*, No. 1:05-cv-00617, filed in the United States
 14 District Court for the District of Delaware on August 22, 2005. This
 15 case has not been assigned to a judge as of August 23, 2005.
- 16 3. *Herroeder-Perras v. Intel Corp.*, No. 1:05-cv-00617, filed in the
 17 United States District Court for the District of Delaware on
 18 August 22, 2005. This case has not been assigned to a judge as of
 19 August 23, 2005.

20 On July 11, 2005, Plaintiffs filed a motion with the Judicial Panel for
 21 Multidistrict Litigation (“Judicial Panel”), pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407, requesting that all
 22 pending and subsequently filed actions in this and other district courts be transferred and
 23 coordinated or consolidated in the Northern District of California for pretrial proceedings.
 24 On August 5, 2005, Plaintiffs filed a Reply Submission with the Judicial Panel withdrawing
 25 their §1407 motion and instead advocating transfer to the District of Delaware. A copy of
 26 the §1407 motion was lodged with the clerk of this Court on July 11, 2005 and a copy of
 27 the Reply Submission was lodged with the clerk of this Court on August 5, 2005. The
 28 Plaintiffs believe that coordination of the foregoing cases pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407

1 (Multi-District Litigation Procedures) will avoid conflicts, conserve resources and promote
2 an efficient determination of the action.

3 Dated: August 23, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

4

5 By: /s/ Alex C. Turan

6 Michael P. Lehmann

7 Thomas P. Dove

8 Alex C. Turan

9 The Furth Firm, LLP

10 225 Bush Street, 15th Floor

11 San Francisco, California 94104-4249

12 Telephone: (415) 433-2070

13 Facsimile: (415) 982-2076

14

15 Francis O. Scarpulla (41059)

16 Law Offices Of Francis O. Scarpulla

17 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400

18 San Francisco, CA 94104

19 Telephone: (415) 788-7210

20 Facsimile: (415) 788-0707

21

22 Craig C. Corbitt (83251)

23 Zelle Hofmann Voelbel Mason & Gette, LLP

24 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400

25 San Francisco, CA 94104

26 Telephone: (415) 693-0700

27 Facsimile: (415) 693-0770

28

29 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Michael Brauch and
30 Andrew Meimes

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48